# BINP's Polarization Proposal for Tau-Charm Factory

I.A.Koop, A.V.Bogomyagkov and A.V. Otboev BINP, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

HKIAS Mini-Workshop on Polarization in Future Colliders January 17 - 18, 2019 Hong Kong, China

### Outline

- BINP's c-tau complex with the longitudinally polarized electrons.
- Multiple Siberian Snakes concept.
- Radiative self-polarization processes. Formulae Derbenev - Kondratenko.
- Option with two 90<sup>0</sup> spin rotators.
- Results and conclusion.

### Novosibirsk c-tau complex layout



### The Novosibirsk c-tau factory parameters

Beam Energy	1.0 – 3.0	GeV
Circumference	522	m
Crossing angle	60	mr
Emittances, $\varepsilon_x / \varepsilon_y$	4.8 / 0.025	nm
Number of bunches	270	
Number of particles/bunch	9·10 <sup>10</sup>	
Total current	2.2	A
Beta function, $\beta_x / \beta_y$	50 / 0.5	mm
Sigma, σ <sub>x</sub> / σ <sub>y</sub>	15/0.1 (3 GeV)	mkm
Luminosity	0.9 - 2.8 · 10 <sup>35</sup>	cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>

Polarization scheme with 3 snakes (arc=120<sup>o</sup> +2 damping wigglers in the arc's middle )



#### Polarization vector in BINP's c-tau e-ring. 5 snakes option.



I.Koop, Polarization in BINP tau-charm

### Transparent spin rotator (or partial snake)



All quads don't need to be skewed! This is the main advantage to place quads between two solenoid-halves.

Another feature: one can switch off solenoids keeping same transformation matrices by re-tuning these 7 quads – make equivalents in optics!



I.Koop, Polarization in BINP tau-charm

#### Equivalents of 180<sup>0</sup> spin rotator, drifts 1, 2, 3

Floquet functions of snakes №1, №2 and №3, solenoids off



I.Koop, Polarization in BINP tau-charm

### Depolarization time in presence of snakes

$$\tau_{\rm p}^{-1} = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{8} \lambda_{\rm e} r_{\rm e} c \gamma^5 \left\langle \left| \mathbf{K}^3 \right| \left( 1 - \frac{2}{9} (\vec{n} \vec{v})^2 + \frac{11}{18} \vec{d}^2 \right) \right\rangle$$

 $K = \rho^{-1}$ ,  $\left| \vec{v} \right| = 1$  Derbenev, Kondratenko, in 70-th

$$\vec{d} = \gamma \frac{d\vec{n}}{d\gamma}$$
 is  
the spin – orbit  
coupling vector

Spin transparency cancels the betatron contribution to d:  $\vec{d} = \vec{d}_{\gamma} + \breve{A}_{\beta}$ , then:

$$\vec{d}^2(0) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sin^2 \frac{\pi \nu}{n_{snk}}$$
$$\left\langle \vec{d}^2 \right\rangle = \vec{d}^2(0) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{\nu^2}{n_{snk}^2}$$

Placing damping wigglers in minimum of |d| weakens depolarizing effects of SR



#### Self-polarization degree in presence of snakes and wigglers

$$\varsigma_{\rm p} = \frac{8}{5\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{(\pi/2)\sin(\pi v/n_{\rm snk}) \left\langle K_{\rm B}^3 + K_{\rm W}^{-3} \right\rangle}{\left\langle K_{\rm B}^3 + \left| K_{\rm W} \right|^3 \right\rangle 7/9 + \left[ \left\langle K_{\rm B}^3 d^2(\theta) \right\rangle + \left| K_{\rm W} \right|^3 d^2(0) \right] 11/18}$$

 $K_{W} \equiv \rho_{W}^{-1}$ 

Symmetric wigglers do not contribute to the nominator, but asymmetric will do. That can be used to polarize the positron beam.





## Module of Spin-Orbital Function, 1 Snake



Here the Spin-Orbit coupling function  $d=|\gamma d\vec{n}/d\gamma|$  was calculated by the code ASPIRRIN, written in 90-th by V. Ptitsyn and updated later on by S.R. Mane.

### Module of Spin-Orbital Function, 3 Snakes



### Module of Spin-Orbital Function, 5 Snakes



Arc's angles between snakes are chosen not optimal for 5 snakes. Therefore maximums of d-function are much higher than what was expected for their uniform distribution.

# Radiative polarization relaxation time, τ<sub>rad</sub>



# Radiative equilibrium polarization, P<sub>rad</sub>, 1 snake





I.Koop, Polarization in BINP tau-charm

# Radiative equilibrium polarization, P<sub>rad</sub>, 3 snakes

3 snakes



I.Koop, Polarization in BINP tau-charm

# Polarization degree overview, if $\tau_{beam}$ =300 s



# Polarization degree overview, if τ<sub>beam</sub>=100 s



## Alternative option with two 90<sup>0</sup>- spin rotators.



I.Koop, Polarization in BINP tau-charm

#### Version with two 90<sup>0</sup>-rotators. Spin components at IP.



Two 90<sup>0</sup>-rotators are placed at  $\theta = \pm 0.303$  from IP - optimal for polarization at  $\Lambda c = 2.285$  GeV. Polarization at IP is longitudinal also at "magic" energies E=0.44 (GeV)·n· $\pi/(\pi-\theta)$ , n=1, ...,6. Then the spin tune is integer at long arc between rotators. So, they do act as a Siberian Snake!

#### Tau-tau production cross-section.



At threshold  $\sigma_{\tau\tau} \approx 0.4 \text{ nb}$ 

#### Depolarization time in option with two 90<sup>0</sup>-rotators.



Rotators are placed at  $\theta$ = ± 0.303 - optimal azimuth value for E=2.2865 GeV (Ac mass!).

# Conclusion

- 1 snake provides up to 80% 90% of the longitudinal polarization at E < 1.5 GeV. This option can be considered as a first stage for polarization program.
- 3 snakes provide sufficiently high polarization degree, about 75-90% in the energy range E < 2.5 GeV and only about 50% at 3 GeV. Currently this is the main scenario because it fulfils to the main physics program requirements.
- 5 snakes option requires different optimization of a ring layout to place snakes uniformly in terms of the velocity circulation angle. Now not under consideration.
- Option with two 90<sup>0</sup> spin rotators is not as universal as multiple snakes version, but its price is much lower. We shall make final choice after discussions with the detector community.